

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/578,816	YEN, ROBERT C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kristie Shingles	2141	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** PENDING

 (1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Michael Ferrazano, Atty. 44, 105.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 February 2007
**Time:** 2:30pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**

1-5, 11, 12, 15, 17-26 and 28

**Prior art documents discussed:**


n/a

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner contacted Atty regarding an Election Restriction between Group I: Claims 1-4 and Group 2: 5, 11, 12, 15, 17-26 and 28.  
 Atty made no election, in light of receiving an Election Restriction action.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)